

**From:** Sean Rolland <scrolland@acwa-us.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 22, 2013 11:26 AM  
**To:** Subramanian, Hema  
**Cc:** Wiedeman, Allison; Kent.Woodmansey@state.sd.us; Yurdin, Bruce; Melanie Davenport; Stiller, Kathleen M. (DNREC)  
**Subject:** ACWA Rural Branch Workgroup

Good afternoon Hema,

I apologize in advance for the delay. We have been busy prepping for our upcoming Mid-Year Meeting. I wanted to make sure I circled back with the Rural Branch folks and closed the loop on potential future calls.

Typically these calls have been occurring monthly, in particular the 4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday of the month. The call-in numbers have been the same and the passcodes were topic specific. Please confirm the phone number and passcodes are still appropriate.

P: 866-299-3188 Passcode: [REDACTED] (Pesticide)  
P: 866-299-3188 Passcode: [REDACTED] (CAFO)

Redacted - FOIA Exemption 6

Below you will find a prospective call topic list. Take a look at this list and see if this makes sense based on the discussion we had earlier in January.

Feel free to recommend any changes. You will notice there is one call not on the 4<sup>th</sup> Wednesday – this one is intended to accommodate the proposed CAFO Rule.

March 27, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (CAFO)  
Technical Standards Review – Next Steps  
EPA Data Collection Effort Status  
Overview of Future Call Topics

April 24, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (CAFO)  
State Compendium Update  
Duty to Apply/Discharge Guidance

May 15, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (CAFO)  
Proposed Rule Q&A

May 29, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (Pesticide)  
EPA Presentation on Annual Reporting Analysis

June 26, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (CAFO)  
By this time we will have polled states on topics they are interested in discussing  
CAFO Roundtable Planning Update

July 24, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (Pesticide)  
States report out on Annual Report findings??

August 28, 2013, 3:00pm – 4:00pm eastern (CAFO)  
By this time we will have polled states on topics they are interested in discussing

## CAFO Roundtable Planning Update

Sean

Please note the list below represents topics that were brought up in the past that states wanted to discuss on these calls:

- Cattle and Fences
- Defining No-land Poultry Farms
- Chicken Litter Storage - How long and when is it part of the production area?
- When is a field ditch or swale part jurisdictional (CWA)?
- Successful Voluntary CAFO/AFO Programs
- Compliance Assistance Initiatives
- Inspection Tools & Technology
- Nutrient Management Planning
- Nutrient Removal Systems
- Digester Projects
- Manure Transfer/Brokerage
- Land Application
- State NRCS 590 Standards
- Regulatory program innovations
- Complainant ID protection policy
- Lagoons
- Antibiotics
- Public Noticing
- Flyovers
- Education and Outreach

---

**Sean Rolland, Esq.**

**Deputy Director**

***Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"***

1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

(P:) 202-465-7179 (F:) 202-756-0605

[srolland@acwa-us.org](mailto:srolland@acwa-us.org); [www.acwa-us.org](http://www.acwa-us.org)

---

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information protected from disclosure. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

---

**From:** Don Parrish <donp@fb.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 13, 2013 9:18 AM  
**To:** WIEDEMAN, ALLISON  
**Subject:** Question

Allison

Can you send me a copy of your MOU with ACWA? Thanks

*Don Parrish*  
*Senior Director, Regulatory Relations*  
*American Farm Bureau Federation®*  
*202-406-3667*  
*[donp@fb.org](mailto:donp@fb.org)*





---

**From:** Mitschele, Becky  
**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 2:55 PM  
**To:** Kirk Tracy  
**Cc:** Sean Rolland; WIEDEMAN, ALLISON  
**Subject:** RE: CAFO Report - Missouri

Thanks so much! We appreciate the assistance.

Becky Mitschele  
US EPA, OWM  
202-286-8701

---

**From:** Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 22, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** Mitschele, Becky  
**Cc:** Sean Rolland; WIEDEMAN, ALLISON  
**Subject:** FW: CAFO Report - Missouri

Hi Becky,

Attached is the CAFO data requested from Missouri. We have confirmed with them (see the email chain below) that they are aware of the FOIA requests and they are okay with sharing the data with EPA. Let me or Sean know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Kirk Tracy, J.D., M.S.E.  
Environmental Policy Graduate Fellow  
*Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"*  
202-756-0600 (T); 202-756-0605 (F)  
1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor  
Washington, DC 20036  
[ktracy@acwa-us.org](mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org); [www.acwa-us.org](http://www.acwa-us.org)  
*Save the Date: 2013 Mid-Year Meeting, Feb. 24-26, National Harbor, MD*  
*Follow ACWA on Twitter -- @CleanWaterACWA*

---

**From:** Madras, John [mailto:john.madras@dnr.mo.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 22, 2013 10:23 AM  
**To:** Kirk Tracy; Abbott, Michael  
**Cc:** Sean Rolland; Alexandra Dunn  
**Subject:** RE: CAFO Report

Thanks Kirk. In Missouri we have a stronger open record law than the federal FOIA, so we are comfortable providing information that would be available under FOIA. The information Mike produced, as well as the database it came from, are available as state records should anyone ask us directly. Please proceed.

---

**From:** Kirk Tracy [mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 22, 2013 8:38 AM  
**To:** Abbott, Michael; Madras, John

**Cc:** Sean Rolland; Alexandra Dunn

**Subject:** RE: CAFO Report

Michael and John,

Thanks very much for getting this data put together. This looks like exactly what we were looking for.

We have not yet passed it along to EPA because we wanted to first make sure you were aware of the recent FOIA requests from a few NGO's, regarding EPA's CAFO data collection effort, and to verify that you are still okay with us passing the data on to EPA. I've attached an email from Randy Hill of EPA OW which explains the FOIA requests. We definitely understand if this changes your stance on sharing the data with EPA for some reason not previously considered.

Please confirm if you are still okay with us passing the data along.

Thank you,

Kirk Tracy, J.D., M.S.E.

Environmental Policy Graduate Fellow

*Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"*

202-756-0600 (T); 202-756-0605 (F)

1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

[ktracy@acwa-us.org](mailto:ktracy@acwa-us.org); [www.acwa-us.org](http://www.acwa-us.org)

**Save the Date: 2013 Mid-Year Meeting, Feb. 24-26, National Harbor, MD**

**Follow ACWA on Twitter -- @CleanWaterACWA**

---

**From:** Abbott, Michael [<mailto:michael.abbott@dnr.mo.gov>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 13, 2013 9:23 AM

**To:** Kirk Tracy

**Cc:** John Madras

**Subject:** CAFO Report

Here you go.

Michael Abbott, Unit Chief

Data Management Unit

Water Protection Program

Department of Natural Resources

Office Phone: (573) 526-1139

Email: [michael.abbott@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:michael.abbott@dnr.mo.gov)

---

**From:** Don Parrish <donp@fb.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 14, 2013 7:51 AM  
**To:** WIEDEMAN, ALLISON  
**Subject:** Re: Question

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 14, 2013, at 7:44 AM, "[Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov)" <[Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Don - the quickest way for me to send the MOU to you is in the link below. I tried it myself and it is a link directly to the PDF of the MOU and my computer pulled it up easily. let me know if you have any trouble and i will make a copy of it and send to you.

The MOU with ACWA is available in the docket to the rule at:

<http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OW-2011-0188-1440>

Thanks,  
Becky Mitschele  
Water Permits Division, OWM  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Tel: 202.564.6418

Allison Wiedeman, Chief  
Rural Branch  
Office of Wastewater Management  
US EPA  
202-564-0901

<graycol.gif>"Don Parrish" ---02/13/2013 11:03:47 AM---Thanks and no problem. Have fun Sent from my iPhone

From: "Don Parrish" <donp@fb.org>  
To: Allison Wiedeman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/13/2013 11:03 AM  
Subject: Re: Question

---

Thanks and no problem. Have fun

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 13, 2013, at 10:58 AM, "[Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov)" <[Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Wiedeman.Allison@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Hello Don: will be glad to send the MOU your way. Only problem is that I can't do until tomorrow am. Our entire Division is offsite at a strategic planning retreat. No one is there today

**From:** "Don Parrish" [donp@fb.org]  
**Sent:** 02/13/2013 09:17 AM EST  
**To:** Allison Wiedeman  
**Subject:** Question

Allison

Can you send me a copy of your MOU with ACWA? Thanks

**Don Parrish**  
**Senior Director, Regulatory Relations**  
**American Farm Bureau Federation®**  
**202-406-3667**  
**donp@fb.org**



---

**From:** Becky\_Mitschele/DC/USEPA/US%EPA@aa.ad.epa.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2013 1:44 PM  
**Subject:** CAFO Data Collection - Request to Touch Base  
**Attachments:** MOU with ACWA\_specific tasks-revised\_ACWA comments\_December2.docx; CAFO Data Collection Table\_ACWA revised7.xlsx

Happy New Year! Hard to believe we are half way through the month already.

I know you are probably getting ready to gear up (if you haven't already) for the upcoming national conference, but I was hoping to touch base with you, even if for 30 min, to discuss the CAFO data project.

I am attaching the updated MOU workplan as well as the completed table for each state - we are talking to SC tomorrow so that is the only one that is incomplete. The states that we are still awaiting some kind of information exchange include: DE, KY, MO, NV, VT, VA, and WA (i.e. 7 states I recall as listed before).

*(See attached file: CAFO Data Collection Table\_ACWA revised7.xlsx)*

*(See attached file: MOU with ACWA\_specific tasks-revised\_ACWA comments\_December2.docx)*

Thanks,  
Becky Mitschele  
Water Permits Division, OWM  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Tel: 202.564.6418



<u>State</u>	<u>State NPDES Permitting Authority (Agency Name)</u>	<u>Contact Information</u>	<u>Date Call Occurred</u>	<u>State Has Data on CAFOs</u>	<u>Source of Data</u>	<u>If State Has Data, Was Data Sent Electronically to EPA</u>	<u>Date Data Sent to EPA</u>
Alabama	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	[REDACTED]	10/19/12	Yes	NPDES permit program only.	Yes	11/15/2012
Arizona	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	5/1/12	Yes	Mostly state permitting program, 2 NPDES permits	Yes	6/1/2012
Arkansas	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	5/14/12	Yes	State permit for wet operations	Yes	6/4/2012
California	California Regional Water Quality Control Board	ASK R9	6/1/12	Yes	State permitting program	Yes	6/8/2012
Colorado	Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment	[REDACTED]	8/28/12	Yes	NPDES and state permitting program	Yes	8/30/2012
Connecticut	Connecticut Department of Energy & Environmental Protection	ASK R1	5/31/12	None available	Permitting authority	None available	NA
Delaware	Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control	[REDACTED] email: [REDACTED]	8/20/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - all NPDES program and Dept. of Ag (MOU), which receives NOIs	No	NA
Florida	Florida Department of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	11/29/2012	Yes	NPDES permit (and 3 state groundwater permits)	Yes	12/14/2012
Georgia	Georgia Department of Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	5/29/12	Yes	NPDES program and Dept. of Ag Permitting authority has MOU with Department of Ag	Yes	5/29/2012
Illinois	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	[REDACTED]	12/3/2012	Yes	NPDES permitting program	Yes - Region data	11/16/2012

Redacted: FOIA Exemption 6



Indiana	Indiana Department of Environmental Management	[REDACTED]	9/27/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - NPDES, exemptions, and state permitting authority	Yes	12/3/2012
Iowa	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	9/12/12	Yes	Permitting and state permitting program	Yes	11/30/2012
Kansas	Kansas Department of Health & Environment	[REDACTED]	9/11/12	Yes	NPDES permitting program	Yes	2010
Kentucky	Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	9/26/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - NPDES and state permitting program	No	NA
Louisiana	Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	9/17/12	Web	NPDES program	Yes	11/30/2012
Maine	Maine Department of Environmental Protection & Agriculture	[REDACTED]	5/29/12	Yes	ICIS	Yes - ICIS and PCS	5/30/2012
Maryland	Maryland Department of the Environment	[REDACTED]	8/17/12	Web	Website	Yes	10/31/2012
Michigan	Michigan Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	9/12/12	Web	NPDES program	Yes	9/12/2012
Minnesota	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	[REDACTED]	12/14/12	Yes	NPDES program and state program	Yes	1/10/2013
Mississippi	Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	10/16/2012	Yes	Permitting authority - NPDES and dry litter poultry state permit	Yes	10/26/2012

Redacted: FOIA Exemption 6



Missouri	Missouri Department of Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	9/26/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - NPDES and state program	No	TBD
Montana	Montana Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	8/20/12	Yes	ICIS	Yes	9/1/2012
Nebraska	Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	9/17/12	Yes	NPDES	Yes	9/21/2012
Nevada	Nevada Division of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	12/13/2012	Yes	NPDES	No	TBD
New Jersey	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	5/4/12	Yes	NPDES	Yes	
New York	New York Department of Environmental Conservation	[REDACTED]	5/24/12	Yes	NPDES	Yes - ICIS	TBD
North Carolina	North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	6/1/12	Yes	NPDES, state permitting program	Yes	7/26/2012
North Dakota	North Dakota Department of Health	[REDACTED]	5/10/12	Yes	State permitting program	Yes	4/9/2012
Ohio	Ohio Environmental Protection Agency & Ohio Department of Agriculture	[REDACTED]	5/9/12	Yes	Dept of Ag. permits, NPDES permits, and No Permit Required determinations	Yes	9/17/2012
Oregon	Oregon Department of Agriculture & Oregon Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	5/2/12	Yes	NPDES	Yes	
Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	8/24/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - all NPDES program	Yes	9/14/2012

Redacted: FOIA Exemption 6

<b>South Carolina</b>	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control	[REDACTED]	1/14/13	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
<b>South Dakota</b>	South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	5/22/12	Yes	NPDES	Yes	7/31/2012
<b>Tennessee</b>	Tennessee Department of Environment & Conservation	[REDACTED]	8/22/12	Yes	NPDES and state permitting program	Yes	8/22/2012
<b>Texas</b>	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	8/23/12	Web	NPDES program and state program	Web	
<b>Utah</b>	Utah Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	9/27/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - only NPDES	Yes	12/14/2012
<b>Vermont</b>	Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation	[REDACTED]	10/17/2012	Yes	State permit from Dept of Ag	No	NA
<b>Virginia</b>	Virginia Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	9/5/12	Yes	Permitting Authority - all state permit program	No	NA
<b>Washington</b>	Washington Department of Ecology & Washington Department of Agriculture	[REDACTED]	8/23/12	Yes	Permitting authority and department of agriculture	No	NA
<b>West Virginia</b>	West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection	[REDACTED]	5/25/12	None available	Permitting authority	None available	NA
<b>Wisconsin</b>	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources	[REDACTED]	5/29/12	Yes	NPDES permitting program	Yes	6/4/2012
<b>Wyoming</b>	Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality	[REDACTED]	10/15/12	Yes	NPDES permitting program	Yes	10/15/2012



Count  
Percentage of  
States

				COUNTS	39			32	
		42 states are authorized and have		Percent of	93			76	
		CAFOs		States					

LEGEND:

- Data Received Column indicates whether the state sent electronic data to EPA.
- Data for Entire CAFO Universe Column indicates whether the state has data for the entire CAFO universe either because all CAFOs are permitted under the NPDES program or state permitting
- Format of Data Column indicates E - electronic; Paper - paper; H - hybrid (paper and electronic)
- OK to Make Public EPA asked whether the state would be OK with EPA making any information shared with the Agency publicly available on a website.
- Data for any CAFO Not Permitted State may have information on CAFOs without state or NPDES permits (i.e. exempted, registered, previously permitted, inspected, etc.)

<u>Data Sent for Non-NPDES Permitted CAFOs</u>	<u>Data Sent for NPDES Permitted CAFOs</u>	<u>Data Sent for Any CAFOs not Permitted</u>	<u>Data Sent is for Entire CAFO Universe</u>	<u>State included AFOs in sent data (non-CAFO AFOs)</u>	<u>Format of Data at State</u>	<u>Electronic Data for 5 Items</u>	<u>Data for 5 Items in Any Format</u>	<u>Data Beyond the 5 Items in Any Format</u>	<u>OK to Make Public</u>
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel spreadsheet	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	NA	No	No	No	H	No	Yes	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	H	No	Yes	Yes	???
Yes	Yes	NA	"Yes	Yes	Excel spreadsheet	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	Data needs to be sent	NA	Yes, if data is received	No	Access with a backup Excel spreadsheet	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Excel spreadsheet	No	Yes	Yes	
No	Yes	No	No	No	Access and paper	No	Yes	Yes	Yes



Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	No	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Lotus Notes Database	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA
Yes, if sent	Yes	No	No	No	No	H	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	NA
No	Yes	No	No	No	No	TEMPO, EDMS (pdf)	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	NA
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	No	ICIS/PCS	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	NA
Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Excel, TEMPO	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Excel, NMS	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	No	Oracle database	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Excel, TEMPO	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	NA

Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	MO Clean Water Info. System Database	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Excel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	NA	No	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	EFACTS Database, ICIS, and Excel for	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Web - state permit program	Web	NA	Yes -web	Yes - web		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel spreadsheet	No	Yes	Yes
Yes	NA	NA	Yes	Maybe	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	NA	NA	Yes	No	H	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	No	No	No	Paper	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes	Yes	Yes





Notes Explaining Any "No's" in Columns N - Q in the Sheet

Acres are not electronic. The state has this information in paper copy only.

The only information that is electronic is for name and address. The number, type, and acres are in paper or have been scanned as a pdf on the website for wet operation systems.

Acres are not necessarily tracked --

NA

NOTE: ACWA to follow up with state.

The state electronically tracks contact information, location and permit status in the database. The NMP and other site specific information are scanned into an online document management system. This is separate from the database.

Number of acres is available in the NMP, which is in paper format. Also, number of animals is only tracked electronically for the poultry operations (not for the swine and dairy cattle operations)

The state does not track site specific information in electronic format. The state is working on consolidating information from their 7 district offices into one centralized Access database. Information that will be filled into that database includes the five data elements from the proposed rule.

Acres are not electronic. The state has the ability to track it.

Acres are not electronic.

Information is available to be viewed in person but not electronically shared. Have to submit a records management request.

The state does not have a permitting program for beef or dry poultry. Data for acres and some number and type of animals confined are not electronic but it is in paper format in the NMP. The state does not store any NMP data. State has 1 NPDES permitted operation.

No acres available, except in the scanned pdf of the annual reports or possibly the NMPs. No other data elements are tracked.

Acres are not tracked electronically. This would be in the NMP but that is kept by the Dept of Ag. The permitting authority does not have a copy of the NMPs. There is a NMP spreadsheet maintained by the Dept of Ag, but it is considered to be CBI under state law.

Acres are not entered consistently as well as animals confined.

Acres are not tracked electronically. They may be included as part of a scanned document in the database but this field is not searchable.

State has the capability to track acres, but it's not consistent. This info. is in the annual reports, which are in paper records.

Acres are contained in NMPs. Acres may be tracked in ICIS in a narrative field, but its not searchable.

Acres are not tracked as a data element. The NMP is scanned as a document for some of the operations.

Information is in paper format except for the name and permit status, which is tracked in the state's permitting database.

Number of animals and acres are only available in paper format.

Acres not tracked electroncially.

State only has name, address, and permit status electronically. State indicated there was a separate database for nutrient management that may track number of acres as well as number and type of animals confined in A.U. but did not know this

Does not want to provide location-specific information without buy-in from producer groups - UPDATE: State sent new spreadsheet with info. that can be publicly shared.

State does not have acres electronically. Acres are in the inspection reports.

Medium farms are in an excel spreadsheet. The Large operations (23) are kept in individual files.

Because the state has three databases to track informaiton, some of the five elements are not tracked in the old system. The acres for land application is not tracked consistently for different operations.

Acres are not tracked electronically.

State only has name, address, and permit status electronically. State indicated there was a separate database for nutrient management that may track number of acres as well as number and type of animals confined in A.U., but did not know this

Number of animals and acres are only available in paper format tracked in the state's permitting database. Information is in paper format except for the name and permit status, which is

some of the operations. Acres are not tracked as a data element. The NMR is scanned as a document for its not searchable. Acres are contained in NMRs. Acres may be tracked in ICIS in a narrative field, but

State has the capability to track acres, but it's not consistent. This info is in the annual report, which are in paper records.



[illegible]

Illinois	12/3/2012	No	Yes	No	No	No	Access and paper	No	Yes	
Indiana	9/27/12	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	H	No	Yes	
Iowa	9/12/12	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	H	No	Yes	
Kansas	9/11/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Lotus Notes Database	Yes	Yes	
Kentucky	9/26/12	Yes, if sent	Yes	No	No	No	H	No	Yes	
Louisiana	9/17/12	No	Yes	No	No	No	TEMPO, EDMS (pdf)	No	Yes	
Maine	5/29/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	ICIS/PCS	No	Yes	
Maryland	8/17/12	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel, TEMPO	Yes	NA	
Massachusetts	NA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	
Michigan	9/12/12	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Excel, NMS	No	Yes	
Minnesota	12/14/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Oracle database	No	Yes	

Mississippi	10/16/2012	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Excel, TEMPO	Yes	NA
Missouri	9/26/12	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	MO Clean Water Info. System Database	No	Yes
Montana	8/20/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes
Nebraska	9/17/12	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes
Nevada	12/13/2012	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes
New Hampshire	NA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
New Jersey	5/4/12	NA	Yes	No	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes
New Mexico	NA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
New York	5/24/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
North Carolina	6/1/12	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Excel	Yes	Yes
North Dakota	5/10/12	Yes	NA	No	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes
Ohio	5/9/12	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes

Oklahoma	NA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
Oregon	5/2/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	Yes	Yes						
Pennsylvania	8/24/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	EFACTS Database, ICIS, and Excel for	No	Yes						
Rhode Island	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Carolina	1/14/13														
South Dakota	5/22/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes						
Tennessee	8/22/12	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	Yes	Yes						
Texas	8/23/12	Web - state permit program	Web	NA	Yes - web	Yes - web		Yes	Yes						
Utah	9/27/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel spreadsheet	No	Yes						
Vermont	10/17/2012	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	Maybe	H	No	Yes						
Virginia	9/5/12	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	No	H	No	Yes						



<u>Data Beyond the 5 Items in Any Format</u>	<u>OK to Make Public</u>	<u>Notes Explaining Any "No's" in Columns N - Qi n the Sheet</u>
Yes	Yes	
NA	NA	
Yes	Yes	Acres are not electronic. The state has this information in paper copy only.
No	Yes	The only information that is electronic is for name and address. The number, type, and acres are in paper or have been scanned as a pdf on the website for wet operation systems.
Yes	???	Acres are not necessarily tracked --
Yes	Yes	
NA	NA	NA
Yes	Yes	NOTE: ACWA to follow up with state.
Yes	Yes	The state electronically tracks contact information, location and permit status in the database. The NMP and other site specific information are scanned into an online document management system. This is separate from the database.
Yes		Number of acres is available in the NMP, which is in paper format. Also, number of animals is only tracked electronically for the poultry operations (not for the swine and dairy cattle operations)
NA	NA	
EPA	EPA	

Washington	8/23/12	No	Yes	No	No	No	Paper	No	Yes	
West Virginia	5/25/12									
Wisconsin	5/29/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Excel	No	Yes	
Wyoming	10/15/12	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	No	Excel	No	Yes	

**LEGEND:**

Data Received Column

Data for Entire Column

CAFO Universe indicates

Format of Data Column

OK to Make EPA asked

Public whether the

Data for any State may have

CAFO Not information on

Permitted CAFOs without

Yes	Yes	The state does not track site specific information in electronic format. The state is working on consolidating information from their 7 district offices into one centralized Access database. Information that will be filled into that database includes the five data elements from the proposed rule.	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Acres are not electronic. The state has the ability to track it.	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Acres are not electronic.		
Yes	No	Information is available to be viewed in person but not electronically shared. Have to submit a records management request.	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	The state does not have a permitting program for beef or dry poultry. Data for acres and some number and type of animals confined are not electronic but it is in paper format in the NMP. The state does not store any NMP data. State has 1 NPDES permitted operation.	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	No acres available, except in the scanned pdf of the annual reports or possibly the NMPs. No other data elements are tracked.	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	Acres are not tracked electronically. This would be in the NMP but that is kept by the Dept of Ag. The permitting authority does not have a copy of the NMPs. There is a NMP spreadsheet maintained by the Dept of Ag, but it is considered to be CBI under state law.	EPA	EPA
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
EPA	EPA		Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Acres are not entered consistently as well as animals confined.	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Acres are not tracked electronically. They may be included as part of a scanned document in the database but this field is not searchable.	Yes	Yes

Yes	Yes				
Yes	Yes	State has the capability to track acres, but it's not consistent. This info. is in the annual reports, which are in paper records.			
Yes	Yes	Acres are contained in NMPs. Acres may be tracked in ICIS in a narrative field, but its not searchable.			
Yes	Yes	Acres are not tracked as a data element. The NMP is scanned as a document for some of the operations.			
Yes	Yes	Information is in paper format except for the name and permit status, which is tracked in the state's permitting database.			
EPA	EPA				
Yes	Yes	Number of animals and acres are only available in paper format.			
EPA	EPA				
Yes	Yes				
Yes	Yes				
Yes	Yes	Acres not tracked electronically.			



EPA	EPA		
Yes	Yes		
Yes	Yes	State only has name, address, and permit status electronically. State indicated there was a separate database for nutrient management that may track number of acres as well as number and type of animals confined in A.U. but did not know this	
NA	NA		
Yes	No	Does not want to provide location-specific information without buy-in from producer groups - UPDATE: State sent new spreadsheet with info. that can be publicly shared.	
Yes	Yes		
Yes	Yes		
Yes	No	State does not have acres electronically. Acres are in the inspection reports.	
Yes	Yes	Medium farms are in an excel spreadsheet. The Large operations (23) are kept in individual files.	
Yes	Yes	Because the state has three databases to track information, some of the five elements are not tracked in the old system. The acres for land application is not tracked consistently for different operations.	

Yes

Yes

Yes

Acres are not tracked electronically.

Yes

Yes

Yes

acres as well as number and type of animals confined in A/U, but did not know this  
there was a separate database for nutrient management that may track number of  
State only has name, address, and permit status electronically. State indicated

NA

NA

Yes

No

Does not want to provide location-specific information without buy-in from  
producer groups - UPDATE: State sent new spreadsheet with info that can be  
publicly shared.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

State does not have scores electronically. Acres are in the inspection reports.

Yes

Yes

Medium farms are in an excel spreadsheet. The large operations (53) are kept in  
individual files.

Yes

Yes

tracked consistently for different operations.  
elements are not tracked in the old system. The acres for land application is not  
because the state has three databases to track information, some of the files

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes